

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,377	09/03/2003	Luciano M. Parrinello	1748P1	4183	
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER		
PPG Industrie	s, Inc.	SASTRI, SATYA B			
Law-Intellectua	l Property 39 SW				
One PPG Place		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Pittsburgh, PA	15272	1713			
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/654,37	7	PARRINELLO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Satya B. S		1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 June 2005.	•	•				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-62 and 78 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-61 and 78 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 and 62 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-62, 78 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by the] accepted or b) o the drawing(s) borrection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application filed on September 03, 2003. Claims 1-62,78are now pending in the application.

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I invention, *claims 1-23*, 62 in the reply filed on June 9, 2005 is acknowledged. Accordingly, *claims 24-61*, 78 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-23, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The instant claims as recited do not necessarily distinguish components (b) and (c) because a cationic acrylic polymer can serve as both (b) and (c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1713

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-23, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naik et al. (US 6,866,383 B2) in view of Schliesman et al. (US 6,656,545 B1).

Prior art to Naik et al. concerns ink-receptive compositions comprising filler, a binder and a cationic polymer, wherein the composition when coated on a substrate forms an ink-receptive coating (abstract). In an embodiment disclosed, the binder composition is a combination of polyurethane and a polyacryl wherein, the polyurethane may be present in amount of about 10% to about 90% and the polyacryl in amount of 90% to 10% of the solids of the coating composition (column 9, lines 52-55). The disclosed polyurethane is typically derived from a polyisocyanate and polyol (column 6, lines 6-8) and the isocyanate reactive group may be of cationic, anionic or nonionic type (column 7, lines 1-25). Polyacryl includes polyacrylates, polyacrylics or polyacrylamides (column 8, lines 45-67). The coating composition

Art Unit: 1713

may also contain a cationic polymer to fix acid dye colorants in water-based inks and disclosed examples include amine-epichlorohydrin resin, polyethyleneamine, polyacrylamide with cationic functional groups. The molecular weight of the cationic polymer may be within the range of 3,000 to 100,000 and the concentration of such cationic polymer is within a range o 0.001% to about 20% by weight of the solid content of the composition (column 11, lines 8-27, 39-59).

The instant invention differs from the prior art in that the prior art is silent with respect to the pH of the composition.

Secondary reference to Schliesman et al. is in an analogous field of coating composition for an inkjet recording medium comprising a pigment, binder and a cationic fixing agent (abstract). The coating may be applied to both sides of a substrate. Additionally, the prior art discloses that low pH values of 4.5 to 7.5 are useful in improved ink holdout (column 1, lines 50-60). The prior art discloses compositions based on fixing agents such as acrylamideacrylic polymers and compatible binders including those disclosed in the primary reference. The prior art explicitly teaches that cationic fixing agents are effective at low pH conditions (4.5 to 7.5), but become less effective at alkaline pH values (column 4, lines 19-52). In light of such benefit, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to formulate the topcoat compositions of Naik et al. such that the pH is in the range of 4.5 to 7.5 and thereby obtain the instant invention.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 1713

examiner should be directed to Satya Sastri at (571) 272 1112.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached at (571) 272 1114.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SATYA SASTRI

Salyaserslir

July 22, 2005

DAVID W. WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION
TECHNOLOGY